

Fiosrú Inadmissible Review Request

Title: Other, Mx. **Gender:** Other

First Name: E.F.

Last Name: Fluff

Date of Birth: ****

Contact Address: *****

Eircode: *****

Contact number: *****

Email Address: *****

Re: preferred method of contact: all contact is to be via email unless personally requested by me.

Reference Number of the complaint: 250248-03-26.

Date(s) of incident(s):

30.08.2018 onward, multiple incidents, all of which GSOC, now Fiosrú, has records of As I have repeatedly requested a full data protection disclosure of my interactions with GSOC and Fiosrú, which has been ignored or handled abusively, I do not see the need to once again give an incident list

When Fiosrú have me trapped in this snide loop of repeating the same request form. Which Fiosrú then reply with ever incoherent falsifications of public record to. Each incident has been filed with GSOC and/or Fiosrú.

As I understand it, one of the persons liable is GSOC / now Fiosrú Head of Investigations Ciaran Murphy. Fiosrú has the details. It has the incidents. There is a Court Judgment that Fiosrú is not just refusing to engage with, but refusing to recognise.

Fiosrú, including those handling this matter, is actively refusing a judgment issued by a sitting Justice of the Irish High Court. Which one has to suspect has to do with the liability of Fiosrú representatives, including Ciaran Murphy and now Christopher Kavanagh.

Where it has to be restated Ciaran Murphy is liable for criminal sanction and looking at conspiracy related to kidnapping, per the written statements within my St. James Hospital records, and per Ciaran Murphys own ill-considered statements in recorded phone conversations. I might remind Fiosrú there is no statute of limitations on kidnapping. Nor is there a statute of limitations on torture.

What is clear is that Gary Russell formerly of GSOC then of the Data Protection Ombudsman and now of TUSLA dragged out the original complaint in an attempt to run out the time for PIAB, and failed.

He then produced a falsified public record in what was clearly an abusive whitewash. That contradicted the very medical records he criminally gained access to, references in his whitewash then contradicts, thinking no one would ever look at it.

Gary Russell's account contradicts the contents of my St. James medical records and lies about those contents. GSOC / Fiosrú has attempted to pathologise me in order to make this disappear, while further false malicious prosecution has followed in an attempt to cover-up Ciaran Murphy's involvement.

The incidents are heavily documented to Fiosrú. I am only writing this further request on admissibility because Fiosrú has snidely and abusively refused to act on what it already has.

This is relevant not only to this review, but also because the material must be capable of being shown to European lawyers and lawmakers with an interest in corruption in Dublin 8 South Metropolitan policing. And because Fiosrú's failure to act on evidenced criminal falsification defamation of my PULSE file, again in contradiction of Justice Melanie Greally's Circuit Criminal Court 4.e Dismissal. Has now led to a cross-border, escalating international incident, four and counting United Nations OHCHR complaints, and more. And these snide bad faith demands from Fiosrú are at best delusional to the consequences barrelling towards them, their pensions and the organisation as a whole.

To repeat, because repetition is the only way to hammer things into the delusion of safety Fiosrú has, since it rebranded from GSOC to avoid national scandal in Ireland and likely international scandal. The incidents are now international, with recorded evidence that Kevin Street and involved Gardaí lied to Finnish Police The incidents are also recorded by multiple Gardaí noting criminal falsification of the Garda PULSE system.

Not recorded in text. Not letter. Literal phone recordings, including with the then head of Garda "internal affairs" And body camera of Helsinki Armed Response discussing my being reported as a violent cop killing/cop attacking heavily armed domestic terrorist.

The incidents further record that once Kevin Street Inspector then GSOC head of investigations per sworn Dublin District Court testimony by Detectives Shane Cahill and James Macneill. Ciaran Murphy is himself liable and implicated in serious fraud, collusion, and, on the basis of phone recordings and the contents of the St. James Hospital records, conspiracy and complicity related to kidnapping. These are not casual allegations; they arise from the weight of the statements in the St. James records, the Irish Mental Health Act, and Justice Melanie Greally's Judgment.

Times of the Incidents: GSOC, now Fiosrú has these, beyond triplicate.

Locations of the incidents:

- *****,
- St. James Hospital,
- Finglas Garda Station,
- Irish Town Garda Station,
- Kevin Street Garda Station,
- the Bridewell Garda Station,
- the Four Courts,
- ***** Helsinki Finland,
- Pasila Police Station Finland,
- Hartmann Hospital Helsinki Finland,

- GSOC headquarters Dublin.

Why was it decided that your complaint, or part of your complaint, is inadmissible?

You can find this reason on the letter we sent you to let you know that your complaint, or part of your complaint, is inadmissible.

It is important to Fiosrú that you understand the reason for our decision. If it is unclear to you why we decided that your complaint, or part of your complaint, is inadmissible, a member of the Review Unit will get in touch to explain this to you. If you did not understand the reason for our decision, please tick here. ✓ ✓ ✓

Why do you think that the decision that your complaint, or part of your complaint, is inadmissible is incorrect?

This should relate to the reason that Fiosrú gave you for deciding your complaint, or part of your complaint, was inadmissible.

Note:

Fiosru's Inadmissibility statement is repugnant to the Law and Court as it seeks to overrule, void and ignore a judgment by a now sitting Justice of the Irish High Court. Obviously that is not a situation that can continue. All correspondence on the matter since Fiosrú was GSOC by each GSOC and now Fiosrú representative have been criminal fraud, criminal falsification of public record, and collusion.

1. First GSOC couldn't find my complaint, which was repeatedly blamed on the "Firewall". And likely had nothing to do with the fact I was sleeping with "Tech John's" common-Law wife, Katariina.
2. GSOC operatives told me not to file the complaint because Kevin Street would "make my life a misery" "destroy my life". I could not have known at that point GSOC representatives meant that they were going to help Kevin Street.
3. I was appointed a GSOC investigator, when I asked was he the Garda who had been reprimanded for the unlicensed weapon in his car, he was moved from my case.
4. I was appointed Gary Russell, allegedly an ex-London traffic cop. Gary Russell repeatedly broke privacy rights, protocol, and a list of laws. Gary Russell at one point involved my estranged female parent who I have a no contact order related to my medical affairs and in recorded conversation had to apologise.
5. To make that clear, Gary Russell tried to say "Your mother said it was okay you were tortured and beaten and falsely imprisoned fraudulently". That's illegal. Evidence: Phone recording.
6. Gary Russell refused to investigate the complaint. Gary Russell dragged the complaint out in order to try and prevent a PIAB complaint. Gary Russell failed in that.
7. Gary Russell criminally gained access to my medical records. Then tried to derail the complaint into three sentences about child abuse. Gary Russell involved TUSLA, all of which was done to cause distress and disrupt the actual complaint into A168 and Kevin Street Gardaí.

8. Gary Russell wrote a investigation closure report citing my medical records which contradicts my medical records. For example, Gary Russell states there is no evidence I was subjected to violence or tortured, my medical records clearly state “presented to accident and emergency physically abused by Gardaí”.
9. My St. James Hospital medical records very clearly detail kidnapping and breaches of the mental health act. It is written in notes across my medical records between the doctors.
10. I am deliberately leaving out some of the worst criminal information in my medical records because Fiosrú previously GSOC keep incriminating themselves as within collusion and conspiracy to commit kidnapping.
11. My medical records record that I am the only human alive immune to the toxic efforts of gasoline/petrol. Expert toxicologists from around the world have weighed in on this: it’s impossible.
12. My medical records that Gary Russell cites also clearly state the Gardaí in question lied to them, and that my time living in Finland was not a “hallucination” and a “delusion” the discovery of which obviously led to my immediate release. That’s false imprisonment and GSOC collusion in false imprisonment.
13. From Day One – GSOC has treated the matter abusively and fraudulently. Closing the complaint citing it had been investigated already. How can it have been investigated when Gary Russell contradicts the medical records.
14. Closing the complaint by saying it had already been investigated was itself abusive nonsense, because Gary Russell’s “investigation” is invalid on its face where it contradicts the medical records he had access to and relied upon. If your own source material says “presented to accident and emergency physically abused by Gardaí”, and your investigator says there is no evidence of violence, then what was closed was not an investigation but a whitewash.
15. GSOC now Fiosrú has repeatedly tried to hide behind the fiction that the matter was already dealt with. It was not dealt with. It was delayed, diverted, pathologised, and closed in a manner that protected the subjects of complaint and compounded the original wrongdoing.
16. Justice Melanie Greally’s judgment should have blown Gary Russell’s closure report apart. Justice Greally found that the arrest, entry and detention were without authority, illegal and unconstitutional, and that the charges were unsupported by evidence and the product of an improperly conducted investigation. That is not compatible with Fiosrú pretending that no act or omission giving rise to criminal or disciplinary action was ever identified.
17. Fiosrú is not merely refusing to engage with a complaint. Fiosrú is refusing to engage with the fact that a court judgment exists which undercuts the very basis on which GSOC previously tried to bury the matter. That refusal is not a neutral administrative oversight. It is active bad faith.
18. From there the misconduct did not stop. It continued. After the failed prosecution and Justice Greally’s findings, Gardaí continued false reporting, continued abuse of process, continued retaliation, continued attempts at malicious prosecution, violence, torture, sexual assault, and continued efforts to erase the legal reality of that judgment.

19. That includes the criminal falsification and defamation of my PULSE file, which GSOC now Fiosrú has been told about and which it has refused to properly confront. This is not some vague grievance. It is part of a documented pattern in which false Garda narratives are inserted into official systems and then recycled to justify later abuse.

20. That falsification has been done with the intention to cause harm, to see me harmed, and it has caused me real world physical harm and material harm. The fact that GSOC now Fiosrú have been engaged in protecting it evidences Fiosrú as a wholly criminal organisation operating under mafia rules.

21. To be clear: GSOC and Fiosrú has protect a criminal vengeful use of the PULSE system. This is evidenced via phone recordings and more.

21. The lies and criminal fraud of the PULSE have not remained domestic to Ireland. They have been exported Internationally. Kevin Street and associated Gardaí are stated to have lied to Finnish Police, portraying me as violent, armed, police-hating, and effectively a domestic terrorist. That is not merely defamatory. It put me in direct physical danger abroad.

22. I was told directly by Helsinki Police that Irish Gards have told them

- I have a long history of violence against the police
- I have hidden armaments for use against police
- I hide knives around my apartment for use against the police
- I boobytrap apartments against the police
- I set petrol petrol traps in my apartments to burn police.
- I'm an arsonist who attacks cop and tried to burn an entire apartment block in Dublin
- Essentially that I am a domestic terrorist—and I am struggling to fight that due to the incoherent refusal of a Finalised Irish Court Judgment
- By September 2024 this had got so bad that Helsinki Cops were asking me about a cop I either murdered or seriously burned alive. An Irish Police Officer no one can name because he does not exist.

A criminally vengeful division of Kevin Street and Irish Town Gards have stolen my 30s – and my 40s from me. Now Fiosrú snidely and abusively spins me in continuous applications for admissibility, which is obviously linked to Ciaran Murphy and others desperation to prevent PIAB and criminal sanction and scandal of their corruption.

23. Fiosrú has also been put on notice that those lies had cross-border consequences, including the incoherent refusal by Finnish police to accept the legal reality of an Irish court judgment once Garda falsehoods had poisoned the ground. So when Fiosrú says no relevant act or omission has been submitted, it is saying that in the face of allegations of false international police reporting with obvious risk to life and liberty.

24. GSOC now Fiosrú has tried to tell me that there is nothing wrong with criminal falsifications being input into my PULSE file. That now is Fiosrú stating it is a bankrupt organisation operating outside of the Law and Irish Constitution, and indeed outside EU Law.

25. Ciaran Murphy is not some incidental name floating at the margins of this. He is repeatedly identified in the record as being involved in inappropriate contact, misleading conduct, and obstruction during live proceedings. The record shows that he contacted me as a Kevin Street Inspector, not as GSOC, and later admitted that the contact was inappropriate.

26. The position is worsened further by the recorded material concerning Ciaran Murphy. In those recordings, Murphy discusses my devices with Kevin Street, refers to Brian Gillan and a number of Gardaí “going against” me, and, on my case, is recorded in a manner I say and believe amounts to a gloating admission of knowledge of collusion to commit perjury in the dismissal proceedings. The same recorded material also shows Murphy misleading or attempting to mislead me about Garda powers and detention under the Mental Health Act while I was raising what was, in substance, a kidnapping complaint arising from breaches of that Act. Those are not harmless errors or loose remarks. They are evidence of collusion, obstruction, and deliberate misconduct requiring proper investigation.

27. The St. James records, as I have described them, do not support the Garda narrative, the GSOC narrative and now Fiosrú's ongoing cover-up abuse narrative. They record doctors refusing illegal demands by A168 Brian Peter Gillan. Fiosrú cannot honestly dismiss the material as lacking substance without permanent damage to itself. Which is now a given.

28. GSOC and Fiosrú have repeatedly attempted to pathologise me, to reduce criminality and abuse into “confusion”, “delusion”, “misunderstanding”, or some convenient administrative fog. That pattern is visible not only in the earlier handling but again in Fiosrú's own minimising treatment of repeated misgendering as mere lack of information. I did not misunderstand having my wrists and thumbs slowly broken by A168, and I did not misunderstand the sexual assaults. Just like Fiosrú did not misunderstand the years of my correct title and pronouns.

29. GSOC, now Fiosrú, has had the information about my gender identity for years and continues to misgender me. That is not accident, oversight, or administrative sloppiness. It is deliberate, childish, and degrading abuse. The line about “not having enough information” when I have filed complaints about being sexually assaulted at gunpoint for being non-binary, sexually tortured, and sexually humiliated on that same basis, is nothing short of spitting in my face. It is not merely insulting. It is an open signal that my gender identity, the abuse linked to it, and the seriousness of what I reported are being treated with contempt rather than investigated with the independence and gravity required.

30. The point is simple. Fiosrú attempts to excuse its behaviour through a mixture of fraudulent bureaucracy and criminal falsification of public record. Fiosrú attempts to dress this up by pathologising me. However all this does is reveal criminal misconduct by Fiosrú. It is easier for them to imply instability than to grapple with evidence medical records, court findings, recordings, PULSE abuse, and cross-border false reporting. This is an organisation operating as a mafia. Not an ombudsman. And that is why this application is being supplied to human rights lawyers and legal academics around Europe.

31. Justice Greally's dismissal judgment in my 4.e Pre-Arraignment Dismissal Judgment exposed far more than an isolated error. It exposed unlawful arrest, entry and detention, torture, unsupported charges, perjury, breaches of the constitution, malicious prosecution, and, on the disclosed record, a body of false witness evidence extending to approximately seventeen Gardaí and three members of Dublin Fire Brigade. Fiosrú cannot lawfully treat that material as peripheral. It goes to the core of credibility, collusion, and abuse of process.

32. Greally's judgment exposed 17 Garda for filing false witness statements. Which is undeniable. It is not just undeniable, according to sworn testimony by Detectives Shane Cahill and James

MacNeill, Ciaran Murphy is was GSOC's head of investigations. Ciaran Murphy in recorded conversation gloated about A168 Brian Peter Gillan's collusion and conspiracy to commit perjury. I didn't event that phone recording.

33. A reminder for Fiosrú – For no wrong doing to be found even after Greally's judgment. Besides the fact it sets Fiosrú at war with the Irish High Court and Constitution. It is Fiosrú at war with science, chemistry and biology, as it is Fiosrú supporting A168 Brian Peter Gillan's claim that I am the only human in recorded history who is immune to the ill-effects and exposure to gasoline and petrol.

34. 'Inadmissibility' also requires one to accept that A168 Brian Peter Gillan and Kevin Street are somehow immune to the laws of chemistry: that petrol sampled by Kevin Street does not destroy ordinary plastic, does not obey the laws of physics, and does not degrade over time. That is complete gibberish. In deciding to act as a protective front for Ciaran Murphy—who has repeatedly allowed himself to be recorded acting unlawfully in phone conversations—Fiosrú makes itself the public face of something not merely anti-evidential, but anti-scientific. It is so far removed from basic chemistry, toxicology, and common sense that toxicologists do not believe anyone could seriously advance it until I place the court papers before them.

35. In trying to cover this up as inadmissible, Fiosrú also evidences itself as protecting a long running scam to defraud the Irish State via the Internal Garda Redress Board, something I evidenced via expert witnesses on the first day of my landmark 4.e Pre-Arraignment Dismissal.

36. What Fiosrú calls lack of substance is in reality an excess of substance it does not wish to touch. Named individuals, recordings, medical records, a court judgment, prior complaints, prior obstruction, and later international consequences are all already on the table. The problem is not absence of information. The problem is that the information is damning. That is why the inadmissibility decision is untenable and cannot stand. It is not just wrong. It is wrong in a way that exposes the continuing bad-faith refusal to investigate acts and omissions which, on any honest reading, are capable of criminal sanction, disciplinary sanction, or both.

37. The decision is incorrect because the complaints plural do not merely make general accusations; it identifies specific persons including Gary Russell, A168 Brian Gillan and the seventeen named colleagues from the proceedings before Justice Greally, Inspector Ciaran Murphy, Kevin Street Gardaí, Detectives Shane Cahill, Terrence Gleeson, James MacNeill, Gary Russell, and identifies specific criminal acts and omissions that have occurred.

38. The complaints have not been too broad, they have been granular in detail. If Fiosrú is claiming otherwise it is because members of GSOC now Fiosrú are liable, and likely liable for criminal sanction.

39. To repeat: even if in some reality Fiosrú could claim that contrary to all evidence and documents: it had investigated the matter before, and in good time, that was and is negated by Justice Melanie Greally's judgment in the 4.e Pre-Arraignment Dismissal.

40. Every statement made by Fiosrú, every report written related to this matter has been a criminal falsification of record. And all communication from GSOC now Fiosrú conducted with the smug dual purpose of abusing a disabled torture survivor.

41. Fiosrú has long had the evidential foundation necessary to act: the facts, the identities, the documents, the recordings, the complaint history, and the court findings. The prior GSOC treatment of the matter was already compromised by contradiction and taint, and was thereafter further discredited by disclosed materials and judicial findings which sharpened, rather than diminished, the case for investigation. Accordingly, to label the complaint inadmissible for alleged want of substance, want of information, or absence of a relevant act or omission is an irrational and untenable position which no decision-maker acting lawfully, conscientiously, and on an honest view of the record could properly maintain. The materials already cited do not merely permit that conclusion; they decisively support it. If Fiosrú wish to continue to act like a mafia, then Fiosrú is going to be treated like a mafia.

If your request for a review is outside the time limit

The legal time limit to request a review is **within 28 days of receiving the letter with your decision**. Fiosrú can extend this time limit if it considers there is good reason to do this. If you received our decision more than 28 days ago, please let us know if there is good reason(s) why you did not request a review

To the extent that Fiosrú contends that this review request was made outside the 28-day period, there is plainly good reason to extend time. Any delay arose from the complainant being indisposed, from active health difficulties, and from the need to address serious cross-border consequences flowing from the very matters complained of, including the alleged dissemination of false Garda material to Finnish police.

This was not a case of indifference, inaction, or a failure to engage. It was a case of a complainant already immersed in a long-running, evidentially dense, and procedurally burdensome matter, while contending with ongoing medical and practical disruption, and while maintaining consistently that GSOC, now Fiosrú, already possessed the essential facts, records, and complaint history.

In those circumstances, refusal to extend time would be disproportionate, procedurally unfair, and indicative of an excessive reliance on technicality in a case where the institution was already on full notice of the substance and seriousness of the allegations. Where the subject matter is grave, the record extensive, and the delay explained by health issues, cross-border consequences, and continuing difficulty in managing and accessing material, an extension is not indulgence but the minimum required by fair procedure.

The record does not disclose mere administrative untidiness but a sustained pattern of obstruction directed at preventing PIAB proceedings and suppressing evidence capable of grounding them. That pattern includes evidence already identified by me that there is video material in which the I am expressly asked whether Irish Town and Kevin Street had succeeded in confiscating all evidence of misconduct, together with material concerning the presentation of a false instrument to the District Night Court at Parkgate Street. On any ordinary understanding, those are not the acts of officers engaged in lawful case management, but of officers acting far outside lawful restraint and

with a disturbing sense of impunity. The submission of false instruments to a court and the apparent targeting of evidential material relevant to misconduct and civil liability point to conduct that is not merely improper but radically out of control.

If Fiosrú proposes to rely on time, it must first confront the possibility that any timing difficulty was itself created or aggravated by the very misconduct under complaint. The material already cited supports a case that GSOC, now Fiosrú, together with members of Kevin Street and Irish Town, engaged in conduct said to have obstructed evidence, prolonged procedures, and interfered with the my ability to advance PIAB-related proceedings and connected remedies. In such circumstances, delay cannot honestly be severed from obstruction. Those who wished not to face criminal, disciplinary, or civil consequences had an obvious lawful option available to them from the outset: they could simply have abstained from the conduct now complained of, in short, they could simply have not broken the law to protect other colleagues who had already broken the law.

Once again, Fiosrú has acted like an arm of a mafia, and not an ombudsman, and for that, there has to be consequences.

My life has been destroyed by this. My careers destroyed. My intellectual IP stolen because of this. A Fiosrú operative STOLE MY CAT via fraud.

In acting like it has GSOC, now Fiosrú has created for itself, an International Scandal. One it cannot contain no matter how many snide and smug emails Mr. Kavanagh sends me designed to coded transphobic abuse.

Kidnapping, has no statutory limit. Ignorance of the Law is not an excuse.

This document has been as much written for Fiosrú as it has been for EU Law Academics and Journalists.

Europe is going to know and understand how GSOC and now Fiosrú operates as a mafia wing of a wholly corrupt police force.

Submission of request for review

Before you submit your request for a review, please note that it is a criminal offence under section 226 of the Policing Security and Community Safety Act 2024 to knowingly supply “false or misleading information” to Fiosrú. This could result in a fine and/or a prison sentence.

Please tick the box to show that you understand. ☒

Review request date: 02.06.2026. Note: I have been requesting a review since the first fraudulent criminal falsification of public record by Gary Russell in 2020.

Name (in block capitals): E.F. Fluff

Your signature: E.F. Fluff

150 Upper Abbey Street, Dublin 1 **Eircode:** D01 FT73

Phone: (01) 871 6727
0818 600 800

